Heterogeneities in correlated infection traits explain surprising discrepancies in time intervals underlying R<sub>0</sub> estimates

## Estimating generation intervals in heterogeneous populations

Michael Li, K. Hampson, B. Bolker, J. Dushoff

#### Background

- Generation intervals link epidemic growth rates and R<sub>0</sub> (number of secondary cases per case)
- Generation interval: Infection to infection
- Serial interval: Symptom to symptom (see Fig 1)
- Infections are hard to observe, people use serial intervals as proxy

#### Objective

- Estimate differences between generation and serial intervals
- Develop a theoretical framework to understand and to compare these

### Figure 1: Single transmission



#### **Figure 2: Multiple transmission in rabies**



- Generation Interval: Same Incubation period (from biter) + different wait time
- Serial Interval: Different wait time + different incubation (from offspring)

#### **Figure 3: Simulation framework**



1. Simulate incubation, infectious period and

differences

#### Method

- We used rabies contact tracing data where time of infection and clinical signs are observed
- Simulate multivariate gamma distributions for infection traits (See Fig 3) and construct generation and serial intervals
- Estimation procedure using cluster bootstrap
- Resample biters
- Resample bites within biters

#### Findings

- (I) No correlation: Gls have higher variance than SI
  (II) Positive correlation: Gls have higher mean and variance
- Generation interval is 50% longer than serial interval for rabies

#### Implications



number of secondary cases using multivariate gamma distribution for each biter

- 2. Duplicate transmission events via number of secondary cases
- 3. Uniformly sample waiting times within biter's infectious period for each transmission
- 4. Simulate new incubation period for each transmission.
- 5. Construct GI and SI (See Fig 1)

#### Figure 5: Simulated GI and SI distributions



- Correlations in infection trait heterogeneities are important in estimating generation intervals
- Two possible sources of bias
  - (I) SI as a proxy for GI
  - (II) Correlation vs no correlation
- Ignoring correlation structures causes bias and overconfident R<sub>0</sub> estimates based on epidemic growth curves

# Scan QR for more info on my research (I am looking for post-doc opportunities)

![](_page_0_Picture_45.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_46.jpeg)